DEGLACIATION OF THE COLORADO ROCKY MOUNTAINS FOLLOWING THE LAST GLACIAL MAXIMUM
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ABSTRACT. The availability of almost 180 cosmogenic-radionuclide (CRN) surface-exposure ages from moraine boulders and glacially polished bedrock surfaces makes possible an assessment of the timing and character of the local Last Glacial Maximum (LLGM) and subsequent deglaciation in the Colorado Rocky Mountains. A review of glacial chronologies and numerical modeling results indicates that although glaciers across Colorado responded broadly synchronously, apparent differences in the timing and magnitude of glacier retreat following the LLGM suggest that spatially variable regional forcing, possibly precipitation related, played a role in glacier behavior along with more spatially uniform hemispheric or global forcing. Glaciers in the five ranges examined reached their greatest LLGM extents before ~19.5 ka and abandoned their outermost LLGM moraines between ~23.5 and 19.5 ka. Detailed deglaciation chronologies are available for glaciers in four of the ranges. In the Sawatch Range and Sangre de Cristo Mountains, glaciers were near their LLGM extents at 17-16 ka, before retreating rapidly. In the San Juan Mountains and the Front Range, glaciers may have begun their post-LLGM recession earlier, although early deglaciation is indicated by only a few ages on polished bedrock that potentially contains pre-LLGM CRN inheritance, and thus may be too old. Regardless of the timing of the onset of deglaciation, the equilibrium-line rise associated with deglaciation was earlier and significantly larger in the San Juan Mountains than elsewhere in Colorado. This suggests that the San Juan Mountains, located well to the southwest of the other ranges, may have experienced enhanced precipitation during the LLGM, as did areas farther to the south and west, while LLGM conditions may have been drier in the northern and eastern Colorado ranges. A breakdown in this pattern after the LLGM, with precipitation decreasing in the south and west and increasing in the north and east, may have led to the range-to-range differences evident across Colorado. Deglaciation was nearly complete in all four ranges by 15-13 ka. While some proxy records indicate a later Younger Dryas-age cooling in the Colorado mountains, there is not clear moraine evidence of glacier readvance at that time.
Deglaciación de las Montañas Rocosas de Colorado después del Último Máximo Glaciar

RESUMEN. La disponibilidad de casi 180 edades de exposición cosmogénica obtenidas en bloques morrénicos y en superficies rocosas pulidas hace posible establecer la temporalidad y carácter del Último Máximo Glaciar local (UMGL) y la subsecuente deglaciaciön en las Montañas Rocosas de Colorado. Una revisión de las cronologías glaciares y de los resultados de la modelización numérica indica que aunque los glaciares de Colorado respondieron de forma sincrónica, las diferencias en la temporalidad y magnitud del retroceso glaciar después del UMGL sugieren que diversos factores regionales espacialmente variables, posiblemente relacionados con la precipitación, jugaron un papel en el comportamiento de los glaciares junto con factores hemisféricos o globales más uniformes espacialmente. Los glaciares de las cinco cordilleras examinadas alcanzaron su mayor extensión antes de ~19.5 ka y abandonaron sus morrenas más externas entre ~23.5 y 19.5 ka. Las cronologías detalladas de la deglaciación están disponibles para los glaciares de cuatro de las cordilleras. En la Cordillera Sawatch y en las Montañas de Sangre de Cristo los glaciares estuvieron cerca de su máxima extensión durante el UMGL a 17-16 ka, antes de retroceder rápidamente. En las Montañas de San Juan y en la Front Range los glaciares pueden haber comenzado antes su recesión post-UMGL, aunque esta deglaciación temprana aparece representada por solo unas pocas edades en sustrato pulido que potencialmente contiene herencias cosmogénicas pre-UMGL. Con independencia del momento de inicio de la deglaciación, el ascenso de la línea de equilibrio asociado con la deglaciación ocurrió más tempranamente y fue significativamente mayor en las Montañas de San Juan que en cualquier otro lugar de Colorado. Esto sugiere que las Montañas de San Juan, que se localizan bien al suroeste de las otras cadenas, pueden haber experimentado un aumento de precipitación durante el UMGL, como ocurrió en áreas situadas más al sur y el oeste, mientras que las condiciones del UMGL pueden haber sido más secas en las cordilleras del norte y este de Colorado. Un desmoronamiento de este patrón después del UMGL, con descenso de la precipitación en el sur y el oeste y aumento en el norte y el este puede haber dado lugar a diferencias de una cordillera a otra en Colorado. La deglaciación fue casi completa en las cuatro cordilleras hacia 15-13 ka. Aunque algunos registros proxy indican un enfriamiento tardío al final del Younger Dryas, no hay claras evidencias morrénicas de reavance glaciar en ese periodo.
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1. Introduction

Timing and patterns of climate change during and following the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) have been the subject of intensive study over the last several decades. Recent work has made use of new chronological methods, particularly cosmogenic-radionuclide (CRN) surface-exposure dating, to unravel glacial chronologies, and of numerical modeling to understand climatic forcings. Mid-latitude mountain glacier systems tend to be small and to have fairly short response times. Consequently, their response to climate is relatively easy to model and it is possible to utilize their histories to understand the character of climate changes to which they have responded. Similarities and differences in alpine glacier chronologies across a region may thus provide insight to the nature of climate forcing.

Recent studies have documented apparent synchronies and asynchronies in glacier behavior across the Rocky Mountain/Great Basin region of the western United States (Fig. 1) and have proposed explanations involving climate forcings at a variety of spatial scales, from global to sub-regional (Hostetler and Clark, 1997; Licciardi et al., 2001; 2004; Munroe et al., 2006; Licciardi and Pierce, 2008; Thackray, 2008; Refsnider et al., 2008; Laabs et al., 2009; Young et al., 2011; Shakun et al., 2015). In this study, we review and synthesize deglaciation chronologies and modeling studies from the southern U.S. Rocky Mountains, focusing on the state of Colorado (Fig. 1), where over the last

Figure 1. Digital terrain map of the western United States showing the state of Colorado (bold outline), adjacent states, and localities outside Colorado mentioned in text. Map adapted from Wikipedia Commons; author: Alexrk2.
decade a large number of CRN ages on LGM moraines and deglaciation sequences have become available, and several numerical modeling studies of deglaciation have been published. We limit our discussion to Colorado because in the adjacent portions of the Rocky Mountain crest in southern Wyoming and New Mexico there are no published LGM or deglaciation chronologies that utilize CRN methodology.

The Colorado Rocky Mountains are the highest portion of the North American Cordillera between central Mexico and the Alaska/Yukon area, yet due to their relatively low latitude (37-41°N), they support only a few present-day niche glaciers (Graf, 1977; Krimmel, 2002; Fountain, 2006). In the late Pleistocene, however, valley glaciers and small icecap-outlet glacier complexes were common (Meierding and Birkeland, 1980; Dahms, 2004), and deposits from those glaciers have been the subject of study since the 19th century (see Richmond, 1965; Pierce, 2004; for overviews of the history of study of Pleistocene glaciation in the U.S. Rocky Mountains).

1.1. CRN records of the Last Glacial Maximum and subsequent deglaciation

The term “Last Glacial Maximum” is widely used but often not clearly defined. In a global context, the LGM refers to the interval ~26.5 to 19 ka (Clark et al., 2009) during which most mountain glaciers and ice sheets reached their maximum extents of the last Pleistocene glaciation, and eustatic sea level was at a minimum. Individual glaciers reached their maxima at different times during this interval or, in some cases, before or after this interval. In this paper, we refer to times of these individual glacier maxima as the “local Last Glacial Maximum” or “LLGM”. Taken literally, the LLGM refers to the absolute maximum stand of a glacier during the last Pleistocene glaciation, and probably lasted no more than a few decades at any particular glacier. More broadly, there was likely a period of up to several millennia when ice margins remained close to their maximum positions. In the Colorado Rocky Mountains, this interval is commonly expressed by a complex of latero-terminal moraine crests that may encompass several thousand years of moraine deposition, and may have been deposed during multiple brief maximum stands during a period of repeated fluctuations of the ice margin (Anderson et al., 2014). It is this latter, longer, interval of generally extensive ice that we refer to in this paper as the “LLGM” interval.

Moraine-boulder CRN surface-exposure ages are generally thought to indicate the timing of abandonment of a moraine (Briner et al., 2005; Benson et al.; 2005, Balco, 2011). Based on this interpretation, CRN ages on the outermost moraine crest of an LLGM complex should indicate the timing of initial retreat from the maximum ice stand, and also provide a minimum limiting age for maximum ice extent during the LLGM. CRN ages from the innermost crest of the moraine complex should indicate the time of final recession from the complex and, by implication, of the initiation of the main deglaciation interval (Schaefer et al., 2006; Putnam et al., 2013).

1.2. Late Pleistocene regional glacial chronology in the Rocky Mountains

Since the pioneering work of Gosse et al. (1995) in the Wind River Range of Wyoming (Fig. 1), the number of CRN surface-exposure ages on late Pleistocene glacial features in
the Rocky Mountains has increased greatly. As more ages have become available, several workers have noted apparent regional patterns in the timing of the LLGM and post-LLGM deglaciation (Licciardi et al., 2001, 2004; Licciardi and Pierce, 2008; Thackray, 2008). Licciardi and Pierce (2008) concluded that the maximum extent of ice during the last glaciation in the Yellowstone area (Fig. 1) lagged that in the Wind River Range by 4000-6000 years (~19 to 16.5 ka vs. ~24 to 22 ka). They pointed out, however, that the apparent difference in timing of the LLGM might be due to selective moraine preservation. Although Yellowstone glaciers may have been close to their maximum extent during the several thousand years preceding their ~19-16.5 ka maxima, the final, possibly relatively small, advances to that maximum position might have removed evidence of previous nearly-as-great ice extent. Studies in the Uinta Mountains of northern Utah (Fig. 1) have suggested that glaciers in that range were also at their maximum extents as many as 4000 years later than glaciers in both the Wind River Range and the Colorado Rocky Mountains (Munroe et al., 2006; Refsnider et al., 2008). Laabs et al. (2009) found that the timing of the end of the LLGM varied across the Uinta Mountains, with earlier glacier retreat (approximately coincident with that in the Wind River Range and Colorado) in valleys on the northern and eastern sides of the range, but later retreat in southern and western valleys. Many of these studies suggested that ages documenting the timing of the LLGM and initiation of post-LLGM deglaciation in Colorado were uniformly old, similar to those reported for the Wind River Range. However, more recent work has suggested that timing of LLGM maximum stands in Colorado was quite variable, and that the initiation of post-LLGM deglaciation was later than previously thought and may have been approximately coincident with late deglaciation reported in the Yellowstone area and northern Utah (Young et al., 2011; Laabs et al., 2011).

1.3. Possible causes of asynchronous timing of the LLGM in the Rocky Mountains

Observed asynchronies in the timing of the LLGM and the onset of post-LLGM deglaciation in the Rocky Mountain region have been attributed to several possible causes. These include both regional and more local climate controls, particularly changing LLGM and deglaciation precipitation patterns, as well as differences in glacier response times and hypsometry. Global and regional climate models have long suggested that at the time of the global LGM (usually defined in modeling experiments as ~21 ka) precipitation was reduced relative to present in the northwest United States and the northern Rocky Mountains, and increased relative to present in the southwest United States and the southern Rocky Mountains (Thompson et al., 1993; Bartlein et al., 1998; Thompson and Anderson, 2000; Braconnot et al., 2007). In many models this pattern is related to a southward displacement of the mean winter position of the Pacific jet stream across western North America during the LGM, so that the main winter storm track passed south of the California Sierra Nevada (Fig. 1) rather than to the north as it does today (Kutzbach and Wright, 1985; Kutzbach and Guetter, 1986; Kutzbach and Ruddiman, 1993). Alternatively, Licciardi et al. (2004) attributed decreased moisture during the LGM in the Yellowstone region, and in the northern Rocky Mountains and northwest United States generally, to the development of Laurentide Ice Sheet-generated anticyclonic circulation, which would weaken westerly moisture flow in the region.
along the ice sheet’s southern margin (Hostetler and Clark, 1997; Bartlein et al., 1998; Hostetler and Bartlein, 1999). Both models suggest that glacier extent in the northern Rocky Mountains may have been limited by moisture starvation at the time of the global LGM. Rather than retreating synchronously at the end of the global LGM, local mountain glaciers could have advanced as winter precipitation patterns changed in response to a northward return of the mean position of the jet stream and the associated winter storm track and/or to a weakening of ice-sheet-induced anticyclonic circulation, returning more moist conditions to the northern Rocky Mountains. Several recent studies have disputed the notion that the mean position of the winter jet stream along the Pacific coast was displaced far to the south during the global LGM (Lyle et al., 2012; Oster et al., 2015). However, most available proxy data do indicate a pattern of reduced LGM precipitation in the north and enhanced precipitation in the south (Thompson et al., 1993; Oster et al., 2015). On a subregional scale, this picture is complicated by possible influence of local moisture sources, particularly Pleistocene Lake Bonneville (Fig. 1), which may have served as a significant moisture source for glaciers in the Wasatch Range and Uinta Mountains, and whose depth and surface-area variations were not necessarily coincident with global climate variations (Munroe et al., 2006; Laabs et al., 2009, 2011).

While apparent regional patterns of asynchrony may require explanation in terms of regional climate patterns as discussed above, Young et al. (2011) argued that factors related to glacier dynamics might also have contributed to asynchronous glacier behavior. They proposed differences in valley gradient and glacier hypsometry as possible causes of asynchrony, topics also discussed by Ward et al. (2009).

1.4. Timing of deglaciation in the Rocky Mountain region

Young et al. (2011) argued that whereas the timing of the LLGM was asynchronous across the western United States, with glaciers reaching their maximum stands at different times during and following the global LGM interval, the timing of the subsequent deglaciation was broadly synchronous, with major ice-margin recession taking place between ~15 and 13 ka. When CRN exposure ages are recalculated with more recent production rates and scaling (see section 2.1), the interval of rapid deglaciation proposed by Young et al. (2011) takes place between ~16 and 14 ka.

1.5. Goals of this review

In this paper, we review recent developments in our understanding of late Pleistocene glacial chronology and climate in the Colorado Rocky Mountains, focusing on the interval of deglaciation following the LLGM. We address three sets of questions. First, when did the LLGM end and the deglaciation interval begin, and was that transition synchronous across the Colorado Rocky Mountains? Second, what was the chronology of deglaciation, what were the magnitudes of climate change that drove it, and were these changes synchronous and of similar magnitude across Colorado? Third, how does this record of deglaciation inform our understanding of climatic controls on late Pleistocene glaciation in the Rocky Mountains?
We base our discussion of chronology on nearly 180 CRN surface-exposure ages now available from LLGM or younger glacial features in the Colorado Rocky Mountains (Table 1). These ages were almost all produced since Pierce (2004) published the most recent comprehensive review of Rocky Mountain glaciation and glacier-based paleoclimatology. More than 100 of the ages are on LLGM moraine boulders, 55 on glacially polished bedrock upvalley from LLGM moraines, and another 20 on recessional-moraine boulders and upvalley erratic boulders (Table 1). The majority are 10Be ages, with smaller numbers of 36Cl and 26Al ages. Our discussion of magnitudes of climate change during deglaciation is based on numerical paleoglacier modeling completed over the last decade (Ward et al., 2009; Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011; Schweinsberg et al., 2016; Leonard et al., 2017).

Table 1. Available CRN chronological data and model output constraining LLGM and deglaciation glacial chronology and climate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range and valley (location symbol in Figure 2)</th>
<th>Number of LLGM CRN agesa</th>
<th>Number of deglaciation CRN ages</th>
<th>LLG glacier modeling</th>
<th>Deglaciation glacier modeling</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Juan Mountains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animas River (A)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9,12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continental Reservoir (B)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hogback Mt. (C)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roaring Fork (D)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawatch/East Elk Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Creek (E)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,11,13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Creek (F)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Creek (G)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11,12,13,14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor River (H)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,6,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Creek (I)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sangre de Cristo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willow Creek (J)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Crestone Creek (K)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Colony Creek (L)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Boulder Creek (M)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2,12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Boulder Creek (N)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8,12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North St. Vrain Creek (O)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Lakes (P)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler Gulch (Q)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a All ages are on moraine boulders except for the Animas River valley age, which is a 10Be depth-profile age on an LLGM outwash terrace.

2. Methods

2.1. CRN Surface-Exposure Ages—Recalculation and Interpretation

The purpose of this paper is not (with a very few exceptions) to present new data, but to review, synthesize, and interpret previously published data. The CRN ages we discuss were published in multiple reports over a span of more than a decade, and were calculated using different production rates and scaling schemes. In order to compare the ages to one another, to the available radiocarbon ages, and to global climate history, it was necessary to recalculate the exposure ages to a single up-to-date production rate/scaling scheme. Following recent work in the Colorado Rocky Mountains (Schweinsberg et al., 2016; Leonard et al., 2017) we report all $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al exposure ages recalculated using the recent Lifton et al. (2015) calibration of $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al production at Promontory Point in northern Utah. The age, latitude, and altitude of the calibration site are similar to those of the Colorado sample sites, and thus provide an appropriate regional production rate for calculating Colorado $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al ages. Ages were computed using this calibration model and the time-dependent “Lm” scaling model, as implemented in the original CRONUS-Earth online exposure-age calculator version 3.0 (Balco et al., 2008). For comparison, we also recalculated cosmogenic $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al ages using the updated, globally averaged production rate with Lm scaling (originally reported by Borchers et al., 2016) available.
in the same calculator. For all samples and sites reported here, the difference between cosmogenic ages computed with the globally averaged production rate and the calibrated production rate from the Promontory Point site is less than 0.5 kyr. The average percent difference among samples is 0.5%. Recalibration procedures and a complete western U.S. data set, including all of the published \(^{10}\text{Be}\) and \(^{26}\text{Al}\) ages we utilize in this paper, are included in Laabs et al. (in preparation). We report recalculated \(^{10}\text{Be}\) and \(^{26}\text{Al}\) ages in the text and figures, but also include some original published ages in italics to facilitate comparison to earlier publications. We have not been able to obtain all of the necessary sample data to recalculate most of the previously published \(^{36}\text{Cl}\) ages, and therefore we present the originally reported \(^{36}\text{Cl}\) ages. In seven instances where both \(^{36}\text{Cl}\) and \(^{10}\text{Be}\) ages have been determined for a single boulder (Benson et al., 2004; Brugger, 2007) the difference between the original \(^{36}\text{Cl}\) age and the recalculated \(^{10}\text{Be}\) age varies greatly sample-to-sample, but on average the \(^{36}\text{Cl}\) ages are about 7.5% younger than the recalculated \(^{10}\text{Be}\) ages.

2.1.1. Interpretation of moraine-boulder CRN surface-exposure ages

Interpretation of suites of CRN ages from moraine boulders is not always straightforward. Most workers agree that ages represent the time of moraine abandonment due to ice-margin recession. Considerable uncertainty exists regarding the interpretation of suites of samples with large age ranges, and it can be difficult to decide whether mean, maximum or minimum sample age best represents the timing of abandonment of the moraine. In this review, we report the mean of surface-exposure ages on individual features, eliminating ages considered to be outliers by the original authors.

We report individual CRN surface-exposure age uncertainties as 1σ external error, which includes both analytical uncertainty and production-model uncertainties. We report external error because of the wide range of sample latitudes and altitudes, and because we compare the \(^{10}\text{Be}\) and \(^{26}\text{Al}\) ages to \(^{36}\text{Cl}\) and radiocarbon ages, as well as to ice-core records. In estimating uncertainty for mean CRN exposure ages, we eliminate boulder ages considered as outliers by the original authors, and calculate the arithmetic mean and 1σ standard deviation of the remaining ages. Following Shakun et al. (2015), we assume a 2.5% uncertainty related to scaling the production rate. The two uncertainties are summed in quadrature to produce an estimate of uncertainty of the reported mean age. To insure consistency across studies, for studies where authors have presented multiple interpretations of CRN ages depending on post-depositional erosion and shielding assumptions (e.g., Benson et al., 2004, 2005, 2007; Brugger, 2007), we utilize the values reported assuming zero-erosion/zero-shielding histories.

2.1.2. Interpretation of polished-bedrock CRN surface-exposure ages

Several studies in the Rocky Mountains have made use of upvalley polished-bedrock CRN ages to reconstruct deglaciation chronologies, premised on the assumption that these ages represent the time at which retreating ice margins uncovered the sample sites (Gosse et al., 1995; Guido et al., 2007; Ward et al., 2009; Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011; Young et al., 2011, Leonard et al., 2017). Most of these studies have found inherited pre-LLGM \(^{10}\text{Be}\) inventories in some samples of glacially scoured bedrock (Guido et al., 2007; Ward et al., 2009; Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011; Leonard et al., 2017).
We exclude from our analysis any samples considered by the original authors to show compelling evidence of inheritance. Ward et al. (2009) noted that readvances during an overall period of recession may complicate interpretation of deglaciation history from bedrock CRN ages, as multiple periods of exposure and of possible subglacial erosion during intervening periods of ice cover can influence the accumulated CRN inventory. Except where the original workers identified a possible ice readvance during overall recession (e.g., Ward et al., 2009), as a first estimate we assume that bedrock ages reflect the timing of a single uncovering event and use them to assess the general pattern of recession. We recognize that this approach may mask a more detailed pattern of multiple retreat-readvance cycles within the overall deglaciation period. We report individual bedrock CRN ages with $1\sigma$ external uncertainty.

2.1.3. Dating the inception of deglaciation

Ideally, dating the initiation of post-LLGM deglaciation would involve both precise dating of the time of ice abandonment of the innermost moraine of an LLGM complex and dating of ice marginal recession past some point a significant distance upvalley from the complex. This is not everywhere possible with the data set available for the Colorado Rocky Mountains, as many studies using CRN ages to constrain the timing of the LLGM have focused on sampling the outermost LLGM moraine, with the aim of dating the maximum stand—or more precisely determining when ice began to retreat from its maximum position. In a few studies, multiple crests of the LLGM complex have been dated, providing a closer constraint on the initiation of post-LLGM recession.

2.2. Paleoclimate from glacier modeling

Many studies in Colorado have attempted to derive paleoclimatic information from the location and maximum extent of LLGM glaciers. Some have used glacier equilibrium-line-altitude- (ELA-) based approaches (Meierding, 1982; Leonard, 1984, 1989, 2007; Brugger and Goldstein, 1999; Brugger 2006, 2010; Refsnider et al., 2009). Others have employed a variety of numerical-modeling approaches (Brugger, 2006, 2010; Ward, 2009; Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011; Schweinsberg et al., 2016; Leonard et al., 2017). Modeling studies that have used patterns of ice recession to reconstruct the timing, magnitudes, and rates of change during the deglaciation interval (Ward, 2009; Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011; Schweinsberg et al., 2016; Leonard et al., 2017) are the focus of our discussion.

3. Sources of information on chronology and climate of the LLGM and subsequent deglaciation in the Colorado mountains

Late Pleistocene CRN surface-exposure ages are available from seventeen valleys in five mountain ranges spanning much of the glaciated area of Colorado (Fig. 2, Table 1). In fourteen valleys boulders have been dated on one or more LLGM moraine crests and in nine valleys upvalley polished-bedrock samples and/or recessional- or readvance-moraine boulders have been dated. Table 1 also indicates the valleys in which numerical glacier-modeling studies have been undertaken and provide information on climate during the LLGM and/or the subsequent deglaciation.
3.1. San Juan Mountains

The San Juan Mountains of southwestern Colorado (Fig. 2) were extensively glaciated during the late Pleistocene, with about 5000 km² of glacier ice at the LLGM (Atwood and Mather, 1932; Leonard, 1984). The rugged western portion of the range was occupied primarily by valley glacier systems, the largest of which was the ~90 km-long Animas River glacier on the southwest flank of the range (Atwood and Mather, 1932; Guido, 2007). The somewhat-lower-relief eastern and southeastern portions of the range were occupied by two large icecap-outlet-transection glacier complexes of about 1000-km² extent each (Atwood and Mather, 1932; Leonard, 1984).

Guido et al. (2007) developed a detailed $^{10}$Be deglaciation chronology for the Animas River glacier (Fig 2, site A), dating the abandonment of a downvalley outwash terrace associated with the LLGM moraine and seven glacially polished bedrock outcrops along a transect up the length of the paleoglacier. Ward et al. (2009) used this chronology to constrain a 2-D numerical mass-balance and flow model (Kessler et al., 2006) of the glacier through the deglaciation interval to provide insights to ice dynamics and ELA change. Benson et al. (2005) reported fifteen $^{36}$Cl moraine-boulder surface-exposure ages from the LLGM outlet glaciers at Continental Reservoir and Hogback Mountain on the east side of the range (Fig. 2, sites B and C).

3.2. Park Range

The Park Range was the northwesternmost region of extensive LLGM glaciation in Colorado (Fig. 2). Its low-relief southern portion was occupied at the LLGM by a small ice cap that straddled the Continental Divide (Atwood, 1937; Madole 1980). Farther north, with higher relief, valley glaciers (typically 10-15 km in length) descended both flanks of the range. Benson (2004) obtained CRN surface-exposure ages from six boulders on the LLGM moraine complex ($^{36}$Cl ages on all six, $^{10}$Be ages on three) of the Roaring Fork valley glacier on the east side of the range (Fig. 2, site D). No upvalley CRN ages are available in this valley or elsewhere in the range, although radiocarbon dating of basal lacustrine sediment provides a minimum age for deglaciation of the small ice cap along the range crest about 20 km south of Roaring Fork valley (Madole, 1980).

3.3. Sawatch Range and Eastern Elk Mountains

The Sawatch Range and the Elk Mountains encompass a large region of high, rugged terrain in the central portion of Colorado (Fig. 2). LLGM valley glaciers, up to 30-35 km long, descended most valleys in both ranges (Brugger, 2010). In the Sawatch Range there is evidence of cross-range ice flow in many passes traversing the Continental Divide, and Ruleman and Hudson (2016) have suggested that an ice cap covered the central portions of the range. Recent studies have used CRN surface-exposure ages to develop LLGM and deglaciation chronologies for three adjacent valleys along the eastern flank of the range (Briner, 2009; Young et al., 2011; Schweinsberg et al., 2016). In Pine Creek valley (Fig. 2, site E) twelve boulders and pebbles from the main LLGM moraine crest and two upvalley polished-bedrock surfaces have been dated using $^{10}$Be (Briner, 2009;
Young et al., 2011), and in Clear Creek valley (Fig. 2, site F) five moraine boulders and one upvalley polished-bedrock surface have been dated (Young et al., 2011). In Lake Creek valley (Fig. 2, site G) Schweinsberg et al. (2016) reported fourteen new $^{10}$Be boulder surface-exposure ages on the two outermost LLGM moraines, expanding on an earlier data set consisting of two $^{10}$Be ages (Ward et al., 2009—from Schildgen, 2000) on those features. Young et al. (2011) also reported three polished-bedrock $^{10}$Be ages along an upvalley transect spanning the lower 25 km of the 33 km-long glaciated section of the Lake Creek valley. In this paper, we include four additional unpublished $^{10}$Be polished-bedrock exposure ages from the uppermost reaches of the valley. Schweinsberg et al. (2016) used the moraine and polished-bedrock chronology developed by Young et al. (2011) to constrain a 2-D numerical energy/mass balance and flow model (Plummer and Phillips, 2003) of the Lake Creek glacier at the LLGM and during deglaciation, providing insights into LLGM and post-LLGM deglaciation climate.

On the west side of the Sawatch Range, in the area where it merges into the Elk Mountains farther west, Brugger (2007) dated LLGM moraine complexes in the Taylor River (five $^{10}$Be ages on moraine boulders; $^{36}$Cl ages on three of the same boulders) and Texas Creek (two $^{10}$Be ages) drainages (Fig. 2, sites H and I, respectively). The Taylor River glacier was the main outlet glacier of a small (~215 km$^2$) upland icefield, while Texas Creek glacier was an independent valley glacier. Brugger (2006, 2010) used a 2-D degree-day model to infer LLGM climate in the western Sawatch Range and southern Elk Mountains, but did not attempt to model deglaciation.

3.4. Sangre de Cristo Mountains

The Sangre de Cristo Mountains are the southeasternmost high-alpine range in Colorado (Fig. 2). During the LLGM most drainages in the range hosted small valley glaciers, typically 5-10 km long. There was little, if any, cross-divide ice flow and no icecaps developed in the range (Refsnider et al., 2011). Leonard et al. (2017) dated a total of 21 moraine boulders in three valleys using $^{10}$Be surface-exposure dating: Willow and South Crestone Creek valleys on the west side of the range (Fig. 2, sites J and K, respectively) and South Colony Creek valley on the east (Fig. 2, site L). Sampling was concentrated in the Willow Creek drainage, where multiple LLGM moraine crests were dated. Leonard et al. (2017) also reported eleven upvalley polished-bedrock $^{10}$Be ages which they used to reconstruct the post-LLGM deglaciation of the Willow Creek valley, and employed a 2-D linked energy/mass balance and flow model (Plummer and Phillips, 2003) to investigate climate conditions associated with LLGM glaciation and post-LLGM deglaciation of the Willow Creek valley. They used the same model to investigate LLGM conditions at South Crestone Creek glacier. Brugger et al. (2009) used a 2-D degree-day model to investigate LLGM climate in the Blanca Massif, about 40 km south of Willow Creek in the Sangre de Cristo Mountains.

3.5. Front Range

The Front Range, the northeasternmost Colorado range (Fig. 2), has long been the subject of paleoglacier investigations (Ray 1940; Richmond, 1960; Madole, 1969; Benedict, 1973). During the late Pleistocene a transection-glacier complex formed in
northern part of the range (Madole et al., 1998), but farther south (including the areas discussed in this section) glaciation was limited to independent valley glaciers, most with lengths of 12-20 km (Madole et al., 1998).

In Middle Boulder Creek valley (Fig. 2, site M) four LLGM moraine-boulder surface-exposure ages are available including one $^{10}$Be (Ward et al., 2009), one $^{26}$Al (Schildgen, 2000), and two $^{36}$Cl ages (Benson et al., 2005). Ward et al. (2009) reported eighteen $^{10}$Be ages from upvalley polished bedrock in the drainage. They used these ages and a radiocarbon age from basal sediment in a tarn lake high in the drainage (Davis et al., 1992), to reconstruct a detailed deglaciation chronology, and employed a 2-D mass-balance and flow model (Kessler et al., 2006) to investigate patterns of post-LLGM deglaciation and associated ELA change.

In North Boulder Creek valley (Fig. 2 site N), Dühnforth and Anderson (2011) undertook a similar geochronologic and modeling study. They obtained five LLGM moraine-boulder $^{10}$Be ages and ten upvalley polished-bedrock $^{10}$Be ages, and also applied the Kessler et al. (2006) 2-D mass balance and flow model to investigate LLGM glaciation and post-LLGM deglaciation. Ward et al. (2009) reported one additional LLGM moraine-boulder $^{10}$Be age, originally obtained by Schildgen (2000).

$^{10}$Be and $^{36}$Cl ages are available for a single boulder on the LLGM moraine of the North St. Vrain glacier, on the eastern flank of the Front Range about 10 km north of North Boulder Creek (Fig 2, site O—Benson et al., 2004). Farther south in the Front Range, Benson et al. (2007) reported nine $^{10}$Be boulder ages from post-LLGM moraines in the Chicago Lakes basin (Fig. 2, site P) and twelve from Butler Gulch (Fig. 2, site Q). In both of these areas no ages are available for the LLGM ice limits, which are many kilometers downvalley from the dated moraines.

4. Chronology of the LLGM and the initiation of deglaciation in Colorado

Figure 3 plots all currently available LLGM CRN surface-exposure ages from the Colorado ranges discussed in the previous section. Moraine-boulder ages are indicated by filled circles; black for recalculated $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al ages; blue for originally reported $^{36}$Cl ages. In general, the ages are plotted as the mean age of all samples from an individual moraine, excluding samples identified by the original workers as outliers. Plotted uncertainty ranges were determined as described in section 2.1.1. We note that three moraines were plotted differently. In Pine Creek valley in the Sawatch Range (E in Figs. 2 and 3) Briner (2009) found two clusters of $^{10}$Be ages on the main LLGM lateral moraine, which he interpreted to represent the timing of ice abandonment following two periods of LLGM moraine occupation of similar extent. The two populations are plotted separately in Figure 3. Similarly, the outermost LLGM moraine at Willow Creek in the Sangre de Cristo Mountains (Figs. 2 and 3, site J) contains two statistically and spatially distinct sets of $^{10}$Be boulder exposure ages, three ages are older and located on the distal portion of the moraine, the remaining six ages are younger and located on the proximal portion of the moraine (Leonard et al., 2017). The two groups of ages are plotted separately in Figure 3. Finally, $^{10}$Be and $^{36}$Cl ages are available for only a single boulder on the LLGM moraine at North St. Vrain Creek in the Front Range (Figs. 2 and 3, site O) and are plotted separately,
with error bars representing $1\sigma$ the external uncertainties. Additionally, a $^{10}$Be depth-profile age on glacial outwash in the Animas River valley in the San Juan Mountains, believed to date the initial post-LLGM recession of the glacier upvalley (Guido et al., 2007), is plotted with an open black circle in Figure 3 (site A).

The first upvalley polished bedrock ages (all $^{10}$Be) from all valleys in which those are available are also plotted in Figure 3, with red diamonds. Ages identified by the original authors as including inheritance are not included. In both Pine Creek and Willow Creek valleys (Figs. 2 and 3, sites E and J) two closely spaced samples have been dated from the first upvalley sites, and both are shown in Figure 3. Individual bedrock ages are plotted with $1\sigma$ external uncertainty. Polished–bedrock and recessional-moraine ages from farther upvalley are not reported in Figure 3, but are included in subsequent figures.

**Figure 3.** LLGM moraine-boulder $^{10}$Be (black circles) and $^{36}$Cl (blue circles) ages, plotted by mountain range and valley. One $^{26}$Al moraine-boulder age is included with the $^{10}$Be ages at Middle Boulder Creek (site M). Open black circle is an LLGM outwash-terrace $^{10}$Be profile age (Guido et al., 2007). Red diamonds indicate $^{10}$Be surface-exposure ages on the most downvalley dated polished-bedrock outcrop(s) in each valley. Source studies are listed in Table 1, and localities are keyed to letters in Figure 2. Exposure ages considered outliers by the original authors are not plotted. Plotted ages for moraine boulders are moraine means ± uncertainty calculated as discussed in text section 2.1.1. Plotted bedrock-exposure ages are individual sample values ±$1\sigma$ external uncertainty. $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al ages have been recalculated using the Promontory Point Lm production model. $^{36}$Cl ages are those reported by original authors, using production models that likely yield ages that are young compared to the recalculated $^{10}$Be and $^{26}$Al ages. Where $^{10}$Be/$^{26}$Al and $^{36}$Cl ages are both from a single feature, they are plotted one above the other along a single vertical line (e.g., No. St. Vrain, site O).
Figure 3 indicates that LLGM moraines were deposited and subsequently abandoned over a relatively long time interval throughout Colorado. Clusters of moraine-boulder CRN ages indicate that in every range except the Sangre de Cristo Mountains ice retreated from an early set of moraines sometime between about 23 ka and 20 ka. In the Sangre de Cristo Mountains recession from the oldest LLGM moraines began shortly after 20 ka.

Timing of the final abandonment of the LLGM moraine complex and the initiation of the main post-LLGM glaciation is well constrained in only a few valleys. In Pine Creek valley in the Sawatch Range (Figs. 2 and 3, site E), the youngest cluster of moraine CRN ages (16.9 ± 0.6 ka; n = 6) and the upvalley polished bedrock ages (16.7 ± 0.8 and 16.5 ± 0.7 ka) closely bracket the timing of moraine-complex abandonment and initial ice recession that resulted in the loss of nearly one fourth of LLGM glacier length (Briner, 2009, Young et al., 2011). Similarly, moraine ages of 16.3 ± 0.5 ka (n=6) and 16.3 ± 0.8 ka (n=2) and upvalley polished bedrock ages of 16.4 ± 0.8 and 17.3 ± 1.2 ka closely constrain the timing of initiation of post-LLGM deglaciation in Willow Creek in the Sangre de Cristo Mountains (Figures 2 and 3, site J; Leonard et al., 2017). In other valleys in these two ranges where moraine ages and/or upvalley bedrock ages are available, the ages do not so closely bracket the initiation of deglaciation, but are consistent with initial retreat from innermost moraines of the LLGM complex occurring ~17 to ~16 ka.

By contrast, upvalley polished-bedrock ages from both the Animas River glacier in the San Juan Mountains (Figures 2 and 3, site A) and Middle Boulder Creek glacier in the Front Range (Figures 2 and 3, site M) suggest that abandonment of the LLGM complex and subsequent deglaciation may have begun earlier, between ~21 and 19 ka (Guido et al., 2007; Ward et al., 2009). It is important to note, however, that the inference of early deglaciation of the Animas River valley is based on a single bedrock age, which could be too old if it contains an inherited pre-LLGM 10Be inventory due to limited erosion. Bedrock ages farther upvalley (see section 5.2 below) would be consistent with a model involving later rapid post-17 ka deglaciation. In Middle Boulder Creek valley, three CRN ages from bedrock farther upvalley from the age shown in Figure 3 indicate that significant deglaciation had occurred by 18-17 ka, reducing the possibility that inheritance is biasing the deglaciation chronology there. Other 10Be ages, on both moraine boulders and polished bedrock in the San Juan Mountains and the Front Range do not closely constrain the timing of the initiation of deglaciation. 36Cl ages from LLGM moraines at Hogback Mountains on the eastern side of the San Juan Mountains (Fig. 3, site C) suggest that post-LLGM recession may have begun there as late as 17.5 ka (Benson et al., 2005). Those ages are not, however, recalculated with more recent production rates, and are likely too young compared to the recalculated 10Be ages.

5. Deglaciation chronology and climate

In five of the valleys discussed above, Animas River valley in the San Juan Mountains, Lake Creek valley in the Sawatch Range, Willow Creek valley in the Sangre de Cristo Mountains, and Middle and North Boulder Creek valleys in the Front Range, deglaciation chronologies have been developed based on CRN surface-exposure ages of multiple polished-bedrock surfaces, recessional-moraine boulders, and upvalley erratics (Guido,
2007; Ward et al., 2009; Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011, Young et al., 2011, Leonard et al., 2017). While some samples in at least four of the five valleys show evidence of inheritance in the $^{10}$Be inventory, the use of multiple samples generally allows identification of problematic samples and their elimination in reconstructing chronologies. In addition, in all five valleys, numerical glacier models have been used to assess deglaciation dynamics and, at least indirectly, changing climate during the deglaciation interval (Ward et al., 2009; Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011, Schweinsberg et al., 2016; Leonard et al., 2017).

5.1. Chronology and magnitude of glacier margin recession through the deglaciation interval

LLGM moraine-boulder CRN ages and upvalley polished-bedrock and erratic-boulder CRN ages are plotted in Figure 4 for all valleys in which upvalley ages are available. Ages are plotted by normalized horizontal position in the valley [=distance from cirque headwall to sample site/distance from cirque headwall to LLGM terminus; following Young et al., 2011. All of the distances were remeasured in this study to insure methodological consistency]. Panel A in figure 4 includes all available ages, panels B, C, D, and E show ages from individual ranges—San Juan, Sawatch, Sangre de Cristo, and the Front Range respectively. Panels A and E include a basal lacustrine radiocarbon age (12.7 ± 0.8 cal yr BP) from Lake Dorothy at the head of the Middle Boulder Creek valley in the Front Range (Davis et al., 1992) that is a minimum limiting age for nearly complete deglaciation of the valley. CRN samples identified by the original workers as affected by inheritance are not included in the figure, nor are $^{36}$Cl moraine-boulder ages.

Deglaciation ages span a broad time interval, with downvalley ages placing the initiation of significant recession between ~20 ka and ~16ka, and deglaciation essentially completed in nearly all valleys by ~15-13 ka (Fig. 4). The bottom panels in Figure 4 indicate that there were, however, significant range-to-range differences in the timing of deglaciation and the duration of the deglaciation interval. In the Animas River valley of the San Juan Mountains (Fig. 4B) and in Middle Boulder Creek valley in the Front Range (Fig. 4E), deglaciation appears to have initiated as early as ~20 ka (Guido et al., 2007; Ward et al., 2009) although, as is discussed above, it is possible that the early bedrock exposure ages in these valleys reflect $^{10}$Be inheritance, rather than early deglaciation. Bedrock CRN ages in the Animas River valley suggest that deglaciation of the San Juan Mountains progressed at an approximately constant rate (Guido et al., 2007; Ward et al., 2009) with nearly complete deglaciation by ~14-13 ka. The Front Range record (Fig. 4E) is more complex. In the Middle Boulder Creek drainage (Fig. 4E, open symbols), two tributary valleys —referred to as the “main” and “south” valleys by Ward et al. (2009)— appear to have retreated asynchronously. Following apparent early deglaciation of the lower portion of the main valley (Fig. 4E, open circles), the southern tributary (Fig. 4E, open diamonds) was largely deglaciated by 18-17 ka, whereas the upper main valley was not deglaciated until after ~15 ka. Ward et al. (2009) attributed this difference to valley hypsometry. Based on CRN ages in the two valleys and numerical glacier modeling, Ward et al. (2009) concluded that after an initial post-LLGM period of ice recession, a standstill or limited readvance of ice took place between ~17 and ~15 ka (original published age range was ~16 to ~14 ka),
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Figure 4. Moraine and upvalley polished-bedrock $^{10}$Be ages from all valleys in which upvalley CRN ages are available. Ages are plotted by normalized glacier length at the time the site was inferred to have been exposed during post-LLGM deglaciation. Source studies are listed in Table 1. Ages that were considered outliers by the original authors are not plotted. Panel A includes all data statewide, using the same color and symbol coding as panels B through E. Panel B plots ages from Animas River valley in the San Juan Mountains. Panel C plots ages from three valleys in the Sawatch Range. Panel D plots ages from Willow Creek valley in the Sangre de Cristo Mountains. Panel E plots ages from four valleys the Front Range, and includes a basal lacustrine $^{14}$C age from the uppermost portion of Middle Boulder Creek valley.
followed by a rapid final phase of deglaciation. Bedrock CRN ages and a basal lacustrine radiocarbon age (Fig. 4E, open triangle) from the uppermost portion of the main valley (Davis et al., 1992), indicate that deglaciation there was nearly completed by ~14.5-13 ka. In North Boulder Creek valley (Fig. 4E, closed circles) the early pattern of deglaciation is not well constrained, as bedrock outcrops are limited to the upper portion of the valley, where all ages are 15.5 ka or younger. Deglaciation there appears to have been nearly completed by ~13 ka.

In contrast to the valleys in the San Juan Mountains and Front Range, major deglaciation in the Sawatch Range (Fig. 4C) and Sangre de Cristo (Fig. 4D) valleys appears not to have initiated until ~17-16 ka (Young et al., 2011, Leonard et al., 2017). This was followed in both ranges by an interval of rapid ice recession that resulted in nearly complete deglaciation by ~15-14 ka. In the Lake Creek valley of the Sawatch Range there is an age reversal between the younger two valley-bottom CRN exposure ages and the four cirque ages farther upvalley. As a result, there are two possible interpretations for the timing of nearly complete deglaciation there: (1) as early as ~15 ka or (2) ~14 ka or later. These two interpretations lead to different conclusions about rates of ice-marginal retreat, and of ELA and climate change, as will be discussed section 5.2 below.

The five valleys just described were largely ice-free by ~15-13 ka with no clear evidence of glacier readvance during the Younger Dryas interval (~12.8 - 11.5 ka). In the uppermost portion of Butler Gulch in the Front Range (Fig. 2, site Q; Fig 4E), eight of twelve moraine boulders yielded a cluster of $^{10}$Be exposure ages (Benson et al., 2007) with a recalculated mean age of 12.5 ± 0.6 ka. The four other ages, however, were significantly younger outliers, with a range of recalculated $^{10}$Be ages from 8.7±0.4 to 6.1 ± 0.4 ka, suggesting caution in the interpretation of this moraine as a Younger Dryas feature. The sedimentary record from proglacial Sky Pond in the northern Front Range (Fig. 2, Site R; Menounos and Reasoner, 1997), and several pollen studies (Reasoner and Jordy, 2000; Jimenez-Moreno et al., 2011; Briles et al., 2012) do suggest Younger Dryas cooling in the Colorado Rocky Mountains, as does a study of playa-lacustrine sediment (Yuan et al., 2013) in the San Luis Valley of southern Colorado (Fig. 2). Any ELA lowering associated with Younger Dryas cooling does not, however, appear to have been sufficient to have caused significant glacier reformation in the five valleys discussed in detail here.

5.2. ELA and climate change during deglaciation

In all five valleys where CRN-dated deglaciation sequences are available, numerical models of deglaciation have also been developed, constrained by the chronologies. Modeling has been based on two different coupled 2-D mass-balance and ice-flow models. The Animas River, Middle Boulder Creek, and North Boulder Creek paleoglaciers (Ward et al., 2009; Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011) were studied with modified versions of the Kessler et al. (2006) model that calculates distributed glacier mass balance from either an assumed mass balance vs. altitude relationship (Ward et al., 2009) or a degree-day model (Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011). Work on the Lake Creek (Schweinsberg et al., 2016; unpublished data) and Willow Creek (Leonard et al., 2017) paleoglaciers utilized the model of Plummer and Phillips (2003)
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that determines mass balance based on distributed monthly energy and accumulation/ablation calculations. The flow components of the two models differ primarily in their treatment of basal sliding. Model output in both cases includes equilibrium-line altitude for glaciers in mass-balance equilibrium at a given extent, and in some instances, has been inverted to provide estimates of temperature and/or precipitation changes.

Figure 5 plots the post-LLGM ELA rise against time in the five valleys described above. We derive the ELA-versus-time data plots for the Animas River and Middle Boulder Creek glaciers from Ward et al. (2009, Figs. 10 and 13). Values for North Boulder Creek glacier are from Dühnforth and Anderson (2011), for Lake Creek glacier from Schweinsberg et al. (2016) and subsequent unpublished work, and for Willow Creek glacier from Leonard et al. (2017). Most striking in Figure 5 is that the ELA evolution of the Animas River glacier (blue circles) in the San Juan Mountains is quite different from that of the four other glaciers. The ELA of the Animas River glacier appears to have risen to about 500 m above its LLGM position by 19-18 ka, although the timing of this initial rise is uncertain due to the possibility of 10Be inheritance. Farther upvalley, however, three bedrock exposure ages at different sites indicate that ELA had risen a total of 660-820 m by ~16 ka, and inheritance is less of a potential problem with these multiple age determinations. The ELA continued to rise, at a perhaps lower rate, to a total of ~900 m post-LLGM rise by 14-13 ka, when the drainage was almost completely deglaciated (Guido et al., 2007). It is not known how much, if any, post 14-13 ka ELA rise occurred. The initial 500 m rise in post-LLGM ELA was accompanied by a rather small magnitude of terminal recession (Fig. 4B), probably reflecting paleoglacier hypsometry.

In Middle Boulder Creek valley, the initial post-LLGM ELA rise may have been synchronous with that in the San Juan Mountains, but progressed more slowly (Fig. 5, purple circles). Ward et al. (2009) present two interpretations of Middle Boulder Creek valley ELA history, both of which are shown in Figure 5 (purple circles). By ~17 ka ELA had risen by 150-220 m, but the ELA did not continue to rise and may have dropped back to about 150 m above the LLGM ELA during a possible readvance between 17 and 15 ka (original ages 16 and 14 ka). The ELA rose sharply during the subsequent final stage of deglaciation, but the amount and timing of the rise is difficult to constrain. The initiation of ELA rise in North Boulder Creek valley is also not well constrained. Dühnforth and Anderson (2011) present two slightly different ELA histories for that valley based on two different modeling approaches, both shown in Figure 5 (purple diamonds). ELA of the North Boulder paleoglacier had risen by 250-350 m above its LLGM position by ~15 ka and to 400-450 m above the LLGM position by ~13 ka, with another ~300 m occurring after ~13 ka.

In the Willow Creek valley of the Sangre de Cristo Mountains, there was no significant rise in ELA between the LLGM and 16.3 ± 0.6 ka (Fig. 5, green circles). After 16.3 ka the ELA rose by about ~240 m by 14.2 ± 0.4 ka (Leonard et al., 2017). The amount and rate of post ~14 ka rise is not known, as Willow Creek valley was nearly completely deglaciated at that time.

In Lake Creek valley in the Sawatch Range (Schweinsberg et al. 2016; unpublished data), ELA rise began with the abandonment of the main LLGM moraines at ~21.2-21.1 ka.
Figure 5. CRN surface-exposure ages plotted against post-LLGM glacier equilibrium-line altitude (ELA) rise for the five valleys in which numerical models of deglaciation have been conducted. Symbols are color-coded by range as in figure 4. Multiple interpretations of ELA history for individual glaciers are discussed in text section 5.2. Error bars reflect 1σ external uncertainty on 10Be ages used to calibrate the ELA models at Animas River, Lake Creek, and Willow Creek. ELA values for the Middle and North Boulder Creek glaciers are not tied to specific 10Be ages.

(Fig. 5, red symbols). However, by 15.8 ± 0.7 ka ELA had risen only ~85 m. The rate and magnitude of subsequent ELA rise depends on interpretation of the upvalley bedrock CRN exposure ages, and two interpretations are shown in Figure 5. If the youngest valley-bottom exposure age is used to constrain the timing of deglaciation (Fig. 5, closed red circle), total post-LLGM ELA rise in the valley would have been ~215 m by 14.3 ± 0.6 ka. This would have been followed by an additional rise of at least 130 m, although the timing of that subsequent rise is not known. Alternatively, if the older cirque ages are used to constrain the timing of deglaciation (Fig. 5, open red circles), total post-LLGM ELA rise in the valley would have been ~345 m by 14.9 ± 0.6 ka, indicating a rapid rise during the previous millennium. The magnitude of post 14.9 ± 0.6 ka rise is not constrained in this interpretation.

Dühnforth and Anderson (2011), Schweinsberg et al. (2016), and Leonard et al. (2017) have used deglaciation model results to estimate the post-LLGM rise in temperature that would have been necessary to deglaciate North Boulder Creek, Lake Creek and Willow Creek valleys, respectively, assuming that deglaciation was driven by temperature increase alone and that no change occurred in precipitation through the deglaciation interval. Leonard et al. (2017) also considered the range of combined temperature and precipitation changes that could have driven deglaciation at Willow Creek. Assuming no change in precipitation, post-LLGM temperatures at North Boulder Creek would have risen by ~2.0°C by ~15 ka (original age ~14 ka) and by an additional ~1.0°C by ~13 ka (original age ~12 ka), with a final 1.5 - 2.8°C temperature increase necessary to reach modern conditions (Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011). Total LLGM-to-
modern temperature increase would have been 4.5-5.8°C. At Willow Creek in the Sangre de Cristo Mountains, modeling indicates that, assuming no precipitation change, ~2.5°C of temperature increase from LLGM conditions would have taken place by ~14.0 ka, and another ~2.5°C to reach modern conditions—a total LLGM-to-modern temperature increase of about 5.0°C (Leonard et al., 2017). Modeling at neighboring South Crestone Creek indicates a similar LLGM-to-modern rise of ~5.1°C (Leonard et al., 2017). If significant precipitation increase or decrease occurred during deglaciation, the magnitudes of associated temperature changes in these valleys would have been different (Leonard et al., 2017). At Lake Creek, the timing of temperature change during deglaciation depends on interpretation of the polished-bedrock exposure-age sequence as discussed in section 5.1. Assuming no change in precipitation, total LLGM-to-present temperature rise would have been about 5.4°C, of which about 0.8°C would have occurred by 15.8 ± 0.7 ka (Schweinsberg et al., 2016; unpublished data). Assuming that the valley-bottom ages are correct, another ~0.5°C temperature increase would have occurred by 14.3 ± 0.6 ka, and subsequent temperature rise would have been approximately 4.1 °C. An alternative interpretation, supported by bedrock-exposure ages from the higher-altitude cirque floors, suggests that about 3.1° C post-LLGM temperature rise would have occurred by about 14.9 ± 0.6 ka and an additional ~2.3°C to present day.

In summary, a significant post-LLGM ELA rise may have been well underway as early as 19-18 ka in the San Juan Mountains, and by ~16 ka ELA had risen 660-820 m. A total documented ELA rise of about 900 m occurred by 14-13 ka. Any subsequent ELA rise cannot be documented. In the Front Range ELA rise may also have begun early, but the initial magnitude was much smaller, totaling only about 400 m by 14-13 ka. In the Sangre de Cristo and Sawatch ranges, significant ELA rise does not appear to have taken place until about 16 ka, with a total documented rise of only 200-350 m by 15-14 ka. As in the Front Range, subsequent ELA-rise history is not known.

Ward et al. (2009) did not attempt to quantify the temperature changes that would have been associated with post-LLGM ELA rise of the Animas River glacier in the San Juan Mountains. Modeling in the other three ranges suggests that, assuming no changes in precipitation, deglaciation would have involved a ~4.5-6°C total post-LLGM temperature rise, but the timing and rates of inferred temperature change during the deglaciation interval differ somewhat range-to-range. It is worth noting that other approaches to assessing LLGM climate in the Colorado Mountains, including ELA-based approaches (Leonard, 1989, 2007; Brugger and Goldstein, 1999; Brugger, 2006; Refsnider et al., 2009) and some degree-day models (Brugger, 2007, 2010, Brugger et al., 2009), have indicated a greater total LGM-to-present temperature increase than do the coupled mass/energy balance and flow models discussed here, typically ~7°C or slightly more.

6. Discussion

CRN surface-exposure ages indicate that in the five ranges discussed in this review, and at most individual glaciers studied in those ranges, maximum ice extent of the LLGM interval occurred before ~19.5 ka. Glaciers in the Sangre de Cristo Mountains appear to have retreated from their maximum extents somewhat later than glaciers in other ranges,
although the timing of their preceding maximum stand there is not known. In both the Sangre de Cristo Mountains and the Sawatch Range there is evidence that glacier margins were close to their maximum LLGM stands as late as ~17-16 ka. By contrast, available evidence suggests that at least some glaciers in the San Juan Mountains and Front Range may have retreated significantly from their LLGM positions between ~21 and ~19 ka and by ~17-16 ka were considerably reduced from their LLGM extents. By ~16 ka, ELA in the San Juan Mountains had risen 660-820 m from its LLGM position, a much larger rise than has been documented by that time in the other ranges. Glacier retreat was underway in all five valleys where deglaciation chronologies are available by 17-16 ka, and appears to have been steady in all except Middle Boulder Creek valley (and possibly North Boulder Creek valley), where a standstill or limited readvance may have taken place between 17 ka and 15 ka, preceding a rapid final recession after 15 ka. Deglaciation in all valleys was nearly complete by 15-13 ka.

Building on work by Licciardi *et al.* (2001, 2004), Munroe *et al.* (2006), and Refsnider *et al.* (2008), Ward *et al.* (2009) suggested that regionally there may have been two periods of near-maximum ice extent during the LLGM interval; a first ~23-21 ka (*original ages ~21-20 ka*), a second ~18-17 ka (*original ages ~17-16 ka*). They suggested that in the northern Rocky Mountains and the Lake Bonneville basin, ice extent during the latter time interval was generally greater than its extent during the earlier interval, and thus is recorded by young outermost LLGM moraines in those areas. They proposed that the later phase was commonly less extensive than the earlier phase in areas farther south, which is why many of the outermost moraines preserved in these areas date from the earlier period. Our compilation of ages from the Colorado Rocky Mountains fits this model fairly well, with evidence of an early LLGM phase throughout the region and evidence of a second period of nearly as extensive ice several thousand years later in some ranges. With the possible exception of Willow Creek in the Sangre de Cristo Mountains, there is no valley yet studied in Colorado where there is compelling evidence that an ice readvance associated with the later period was extensive enough to remove all geomorphic evidence of any earlier LLGM ice extent.

Explanations for patterns of deglaciation in the Colorado Rocky Mountains may be sought in global, hemispheric (North Atlantic-related), and/or regional forcings. Young *et al.* (2011) concluded that the main recession phase in Colorado and throughout the western United States was coincident with the North Atlantic Bølling/Allerød warm interval (~14.7-12.7 ka). However, recalculation of exposure ages with more recent production models indicates that, although the final phase of deglaciation in Colorado, particularly in the Front Range, may have taken place during the Bølling/Allerød interval, deglaciation was underway across the state well before the onset of Bølling/Allerød warming (Fig. 6A and C). Shakun *et al.* (2015) suggested that deglaciation in the western United States was forced primarily by the post-LGM rise in atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration that began ~18-17.5 ka (Schaefer *et al.*, 2006; Shakun *et al.*, 2012, 2015; Parrenin *et al.*, 2013). This rise in CO$_2$ corresponds more closely to the deglaciation interval in Colorado (Fig. 6A and B), although significant deglaciation may have begun somewhat earlier in the San Juan and Front ranges and slightly later in the Sawatch and Sangre de Cristo ranges.
Possible significant retreats of the Animas River paleoglacier in the San Juan Mountains and the Middle Boulder Creek paleoglacier in the Front Range before ~19 ka are not explained by changes in CO₂ concentration, which did not begin until ~18-17.5 ka (Schaefer et al., 2006; Shakun et al., 2012, 2015; Parrenin et al., 2013). Shakun et al. (2015) noted evidence of early (pre-18 ka) deglaciation in the mountains of western North America, which they attributed to insolation forcing. Early deglaciation may also have been affected by regional precipitation changes. The Ft. Stanton Cave speleothem record indicates that the main drying event in southern New Mexico (Fig. 1) took place between ~22.6 and ~20.0 ka (Asmerom et al., 2010). At Cave of the Bells in Arizona (Fig. 1), maximum winter precipitation occurred ~22 ka, followed by a gradual precipitation decrease (Wagner et al., 2010), although at this site and elsewhere in southeastern Arizona (Pigati et al., 2009) the main post-LGM drying occurred much later, ~15 ka. It should be remembered, however, that the inference of early (pre-19 ka) retreat in the Animas and Middle Boulder valleys is based largely on a single polished bedrock exposure age in each and we cannot eliminate the possibility that those ages reflect ¹⁰Be inheritance rather than early deglaciation.

Whatever the timing of the onset of post-LLGM deglaciation in the San Juan Mountains, multiple bedrock CRN surface-exposure ages, coupled with numerical modeling, indicate that the magnitude of post-LLGM ELA rise that occurred there by ~16 ka was much greater than that in other Colorado ranges (Fig 5). It seems likely that this difference reflects regional- or local-scale forcing, rather than hemispheric or global mechanisms, and may involve precipitation forcing as suggested by previous studies in the Rocky Mountain region (Licciardi et al., 2001, 2004; Munroe et al., 2006; Licciardi and Pierce, 2008; Thackray, 2008; Laabs et al., 2009). Both climate-model output and proxy records for the LGM compiled by Oster et al. (2015) show a northwest-southeast-trending boundary across the northern Great Basin (Fig. 1) between drier-than-modern condition to the north and east, and wetter-than-modern condition to the south and west, with the Colorado Rocky Mountains close to, but probably largely northeast of, the boundary. The San Juan Mountains, and especially their southwest flank, where the Animas River glacier was located, are the farthest southwest of the Colorado ranges. The Animas River glacier was 180-300 km west or southwest of other modeled glaciers discussed in this paper. It is possible that at the time of the LLGM, the Animas River glacier experienced significantly more precipitation relative to present than did glaciers further to the east and northeast, and thus had a more depressed ELA. The substantial rise in post-LLGM ELA in the southwestern San Juan Mountains may then reflect a combination of region-wide warming associated with post 18-17.5 ka atmospheric CO₂ increase that affected all of the Colorado glaciers, and post-LGM drying in the southern Great Basin region, which would have affected the western San Juan Mountains much more than other Colorado ranges.

7. Summary

CRN surface-exposure ages are now available for LLGM moraines in five Colorado ranges, and detailed CRN-based deglaciation chronologies are available in four of these ranges. Moraine-boulder ages on outermost LLGM moraines indicate that maximum ice
extent during the last glaciation in Colorado was generally reached before ~19.5 ka. In the Sangre de Cristo and Sawatch ranges, there is evidence of a later period of nearly equivalent ice extent ~17-16 ka, with the main deglaciation occurring rapidly after that time. By contrast, in the San Juan and Front ranges significant deglaciation appears to have begun somewhat earlier, although in the Front Range deglaciation may have been interrupted by a standstill or limited readvance during the 17-15 ka interval. The start of overall deglaciation appears to be closely related in time to the post-LGM global rise in atmospheric CO₂ concentration that began ~18 to 17.5 ka, but too early to correlate to Bølling/Allerød warming, suggesting that deglaciation in the Colorado Rocky Mountains was more likely a response to global greenhouse-gas forcing than to northern hemisphere/ North Atlantic forcing. Valleys in all four ranges were almost completely ice free by ~15-13 ka. Evidence for a small Younger Dryas-age glacial readvance in the state remains equivocal.
By ~16 ka, the ELA had risen much more in the western San Juan Mountains than elsewhere in the state. This anomalous early ELA rise likely reflected regional- or local-scale forcing, and may have been related to a decrease in moisture availability as an LLGM pattern of higher-than-modern precipitation to the south and west in the Great Basin region and lower-than-modern precipitation to the north and east began to break down, leading to a switch to more negative glacier mass balances in the western San Juan Mountains. In ranges farther to the east and north, which likely experienced drier conditions during the LLGM, a breakdown of this pattern would have had a much less pronounced effect on glacier mass balance.
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